atout greatered amiscellaneous cereal product resuments around

18059. Adulteration of rice. U. S. v. 100 Bags, etc. (F. D. C. No. 31668. Sample No. 3483-L.)

LIBEL FILED: September 14, 1951, Eastern District of Virginia.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about April 12, 1951, from Jonesboro, Ark.

PRODUCT: 150 100-pound bags of rice at Norfolk, Va., in possession of Foote Brothers & Co.

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (a) (3), the article consisted in whole or in part of a filthy substance by reason of the presence of insects; and, Section 402 (a) (4), it had been held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth. The article was adulterated while held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce.

Disposition: October 16, 1951. The Yavner Brothers, claimant for 100 bags of the product, and Galanides, Inc., claimant for 50 bags of the product, both of Norfolk, Va., having admitted that the article was adulterated as alleged in the libel, judgment of condemnation was entered and the court ordered that the product be released under bond to be brought into compliance with the law. The product was converted into animal feed.

18060. Adulteration of rice. U. S. v. 196 Cases, etc. (F. D. C. No. 31678. Sample Nos. 1547-L, 1548-L.)

LIBEL FILED: September 14, 1951, Middle District of North Carolina.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about August 23 and September 24, 1950, from Harrisburg, Ark.

PRODUCT: 196 cases, each containing 12 3-pound boxes, and 44 cases, each containing 36 1-pound boxes, of rice at Greensboro, N. C.

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (a) (3), the article consisted in whole or in part of a filthy substance by reason of the presence of insects. The article was adulterated while held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce.

Disposition: October 9, 1951. The Comet Rice Mills, Beaumont, Tex., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel, judgment of condemnation was entered and the court ordered that the product be released under bond to be brought into compliance with the law, under the supervision of the Federal Security Agency. The product was fumigated, cleaned, and remilled. As a result of these operations, 1,495 pounds of the product were removed as unfit and were sold for use in the manufacture of animal feed.

DAIRY PRODUCTS

BUTTER

The following cases report actions involving butter that consisted in whole or in part of filthy or decomposed substances, Nos. 18061 to 18063; that was below the legal standard for milk fat content, Nos. 18064 and 18065; and that was short of the declared weight, No. 18066.

18061. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 200 Cases * * * . (F. D. C. No. 31691. Sample No. 29154-L.)

LIBEL FILED: August 9, 1951, District of Hawaii.